

6th January 1913

My dear Andrew

You will remember that at the Synod held at Oporto on 25th April 1911 your statement of Theological views consisting of five articles was unanimously approved, excepting (4), partly eulogizing or partly ~~criticizing~~ criticizing the Old Catholics which was entirely removed by an amendment proposed by F. Kauriz & seconded by Kubral & accepted by you.

You will remember that at the Synod held in Lisbon in April 1912 F. Kauriz & J. P. Kubral sent requirements saying that (4) had been removed by their proposal & accepted by you. You and me both said (4) had been entirely removed. F. Flores said he thought his vote was correct but nobody else questioned that (4) had been removed.

Figueiredo then promised us that note should be taken in the acts that (4) had been removed, & ordered the secretary (Sr. Isaac) to press me a certificate to this effect.

About a fortnight after the Synod I wrote to him ^(Sr. Isaac) asking for a certificate (as promised) that the (4) had been removed, as that you said you had taken it out as proposed by Kauriz & Kubral & agreed by all.

Figueiredo then wrote to me that Kauriz was mistaken that (4) had not been struck out, & he could not alter the facts !!!

Enclose a copy of a letter I wrote to you on this subject on the 6th May 1912. You kindly pro-

needed me that when you went to Lisbon you would
speak to Figueiredo, Tassi about this.

As far as I know you never had an opportunity
to speak to them, or else you thought it best not to
stir up the hot matter.

Lo Flower put in the vote that J. Cabral voted
against your statement of theological views, this is
not correct (as I said in the Synod of April 1912) we only
did not agree with (4) & when this vote removed your
statement was carried unanimously. I suggested
that the word protestant at the beginning of (5)
should be altered to evangelical & I understood that
this was done; anyhow this is not important, as
you afterwards fully explain the meaning.

Enclose copy of a letter I wrote you on 6th May 1912.

The fact of Figueiredo refusing to make the corrections as decided
by the Synod, & as he then promised is most serious.

I shall be greatly obliged if you will think & pray
over this, & tell me, (when I am able to go & call upon
you) what you propose doing.

The reason of my writing you again on this subject
is because I this day received "Light & Truth" for January,
in which our statement of Theological views is again pub-
lished including (4). !!! It is no business of ours, either
to eulogize or to criticize any other ^{Christian} Church, indeed it
would be ridiculous on our paper.